Daily Evening Traveller.

VOL. XXI....NO. 37. BOSTON, TUESDAY, MAY 16, 1865. PRICE FIVE CENTS.

Episcopal Church.

TUESDAY, MAY 16, 1865.

[REMAINDER OF EDITORIAL—The Protestant Episcopal Church in the Diocese of Massachusetts will hold its seventy-fifth annual convention, composed of clerical and lay delegates from all parts of the diocese, at its meeting in the ancient temple of the Puritans, and in order that the difficulty of the first night, with the pomp of the Bishop's address and the grace of our Lord Jesus Christ may be duly sought in prayer, the Rev. Dr. Vinton will preach in Trinity Church, on Wednesday evening, at 7½ o'clock. The Rev. Dr. Vinton, the Rev. Dr. Cummins, and the other ministers of the Holy Communion in the diocese meeting will hold communion daily at the Bishop presiding, and sermons at 10½ o'clock each day.

Wednesday evening a public meeting will be held in the same place by the Board of Missions, at 7½ o'clock, at which, after which, Bishop Mahaney's reports and addresses will be made.

Also, Thursday evening, the Church Union celebration, the anniversary meeting of the Sunday School Union, and various other meetings of a secular or religious nature, and an open invitation to strangers of particular interest, will be given.

Thursday morning, at 10 o'clock, the annual meeting of the Episcopal Fund Society, and the annual meeting of the Church Home Society, will be held. Also, the "Sermon Board of Missions," the "Sunday School Missionary Association" will be held previously.

The hall of the "Hospitality Committee" are in charge of the "Church Building Room." No. 46 Franklin street.

THERE PROMISE TO BE, next to the Christian life, no more interesting subject than that of the Church. Its birth in Christ Jesus, its life in the true sense, the spirit of its members, its ministry, its worship, its discipline, its mission, its glory, its hope, its destiny, and its relation to the kingdom of God, and to the kingdom of this world, are subjects which, in their very nature, are of the deepest interest. Nor can we find, in the present condition of the Church, any reason why the emphasis and which attaches to these subjects upon which we are to direct special attention, should be less than it has been in any former period. Indeed, the present condition of the Reformed Churches in Christendom, "Christ's Church militant here on earth," the present things which are coming to pass, and the signs of the times that it is the duty of the Church to read, all combine to make the present time one of the most important that it has ever been our lot to live in. Each one privately and individually, and all of us together, are called upon, as never before, to think, to pray, to act, and to live, as in the sight of God, and in the light of eternity. Each one should ask himself, "What is my duty?" "What can I do?" "What ought I to do?" "What do I do?" and, in the light of the answers to these questions, he should ask himself, "Am I doing my duty?" "Am I doing what I can?" "Am I doing what I ought?" "Am I doing what I do?" "Am I doing it as I ought?" "Am I doing it as I can?" "Am I doing it as

Daily Evening Traveller.

TUESDAY, MAY 16, 1865.

The Trial of the Assassins.

We have to-day full reports of the evidence adduced before the Military Commission on Monday and Saturday, and the other matters proceeded in public on the latter day. The Court sat from 10 o'clock A.M. to 2 P.M. on Saturday, when the Judge Advocate announced that the testimony for the prosecution was closed, and the Court adjourned to Monday, 10 A.M. The counsel for the defence then asked for a delay of two days, in order to prepare their case, and the Court adjourned to Tuesday, 10 A.M. The Court then sat with closed doors, when Mr. Bingham, Judge Advocate, read the charges and specifications against the prisoners, and the pleas of each prisoner to the same. Mr. Bingham then opened the case for the prosecution, and was followed by Mr. Aiken, counsel for Atzerodt, who addressed the Court in behalf of his client. Mr. Doster, counsel for Mrs. Surratt, followed, and was succeeded by Mr. Ewing, counsel for Arnold. Mr. Ewing was followed by Mr. Cox, counsel for Payne, who addressed the Court in behalf of his client. Mr. Cox was followed by Mr. Stanton, counsel for Herold, who addressed the Court in behalf of his client. Mr. Stanton was followed by Mr. Bingham, who closed the argument for the prosecution. The Court then adjourned to Monday, 10 A.M.

The testimony of Booth during the two days ending the final trial, the manner in which he met the charges, the examinations of the accomplices, and the closing of the case by an attempt within the building, are all described, and are extremely interesting. The testimony of Booth himself, which differs in some respects from the popular version of the affair, and which the prosecution are disposed to discredit, is given in full, and partially proved by the evidence already given. Booth declares that he and Booth should kill the President, Atzerodt should attack Mr. Johnson, Payne attack Mr. Seward, and O'Laughlin, Gen. Grant.

Atzerodt has been a sergeant and confidant of Booth, but seems to have backed out. Booth says that he (Booth) was the leader of the party, and Mrs. Surratt was a confidante of all engaged in the plot. One witness testified that Booth and Atzerodt drank to Success to the Confederacy, and to the murder. Booth had one or two accomplices in this city with him, but not Mrs. Surratt. Booth has taken the murder, whose manner are not yet quite public.

Some of the evidence given before this session of the commission on the 15th, is given in another column. It shows that Booth had long contemplated the murder of the President, and that Lieut. Dooley understood it, as stated, and considered the last resort of robbing the mail-bags for the Confederacy.

The testimony of Booth with Gov. W. Sanders in Montreal, and the commissioners of the Confederacy in Booth was entitled that the last had fallen on him in accordance with Providence, and because the Confederacy was dead.

Jefferson Davis—In Gen. Sherman's report would not be complete, unless this chieftain should be brought to an immediate death could not be had. He knew who first instigated the rebellion and who had been most active in keeping it up. Jefferson Davis is the arch-traitor between the Southern and Northern Democracy. He is the author of the whole of the rebellion. Without him it would not have existed any longer than the first battle. Without him it would have been saved thousands from death, by an immediate submission. Without the influence of Davis, he himself alone to remain in the field, and to prolong the terms of the prosecution of the war.

The London Times rightly remarked of late that "Davis, English with his associates, will be treated very different person from Davis in person as Richmond." We must perhaps, add, that Davis, unhanged, dressed in his wife's clothes, fugitive, at night, without arms or money, is a very different man from Davis, merely with his sword and his arm-chair, though attacking in the one case a defenceless woman and in the other a defenceless country. The Times tells us that we have no right to punish Davis, because he considered that the country which Davis represented (they say nothing about the people) had become by the action of the United States Government so far dissolved as to be no longer a nation. Let us see how far this is true. The Times refers to the letter of Jefferson Davis, in which he was standing. From 29,324, Davis 12,129. Davis was sent to the city prisoner, where, for the first time since his arrest, he was allowed to see his friends. Before this he was not permitted to see any one, not even his counsel. Davis was then sent to Fort Monroe, and was there confined in a casemate, with a sentinel at the door, and a sentinel at the window, and a sentinel at the door, and a sentinel at the window, and a sentinel at the door, and a sentinel at the window, and